

REMARKS

Amendment summary

Claim 1 and the title of the Application have been amended. Claim 1 has been amended for clarification purposes. The public should be advised that the amendment to Claim 1 is not considered or intended to be a narrowing amendment surrendering any equivalents.

No new matter is added by this Amendment, and Applicants respectfully submit that entry of this Amendment is proper.

Response to objection to the title

The Office Action set forth the position that the title was not descriptive. Applicants have amended the title, and respectfully request the withdrawal of this objection.

Response to provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection

Claims 1, 7, 9-10, and 15-17 have been provisionally rejected on the grounds of obviousness-type double patenting based on Application No. 10/532,937. Without admitting that this rejection is appropriate, Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, obviating this rejection.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

/ Travis B. Ribar /
Travis B. Ribar
Registration No. 61,446

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 28, 2011